

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Dex. 1998 pins 22313-1450 www.nufb.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/786,958	07/02/2001	Yoshiki Sasaki	NAKI-B017	8304	
75	90 06/10/2003			_	
Joseph W Price			EXAMINER		
Price Gess & U	bell		HA, NGUYEN T		
Suite 250 2100 SE Main S	Street				
Irvine, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2831			
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			,						
		Application I	lo.	Applicant(s)					
	,	09/786,958		SASAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Nguyen T Ha		2831					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE N - Exten after S - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, I a reply within the statutory ritiod will apply and will ex-	nowever, may a reply be tir r minimum of thirty (30) day pire StX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>02 July 2001</u> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is no	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		deration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) 🔲	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a 15)∐ <i>/</i>) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional appli nestic priority und	cation has been re er 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ceived. 0 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5)	=	ry (PTO-413) Paper No I Patent Application (PT	• •				

Application/Control Number: 09/786,958

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Figs. 1-4 (Embodiment 1).

Fig. 5 (Embodiment 2).

Fig. 6 (Embodiment 3).

Fig.7 (Embodiment 4).

Fig. 8 (Embodiment 5).

Fig. 9 (Embodiment 6).

Figs. 10-13 (Embodiment 7).

Figs. 14-15 (Embodiment 8).

Fig. 16 (Embodiment 9).

Figs. 17-18 (Embodiment 10).

Fig. 19 (Embodiment 11).

Figs 20-21 (Embodiment 12).

Figs. 22-24 (Embodiment 13).

Figs. 25-30 (Embodiment 14).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/786,958

Art Unit: 2831

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Joseph W. Price on 6/2/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/786,958

Art Unit: 2831

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T Ha whose telephone number is 703-308-6023. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 703-308-3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

NH June 3, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800